Policy CS29 – Criteria for Edge of Centre and Out of Centre Facilities

Summary of Comments Received	Recommended Response
Policy CS29 should be reworded to read: "will be permitted where it can	No change is recommended, as national planning policy is already a
be demonstrated that they accord with the policies contained within the	material consideration in planning decisions.
National Planning Policy Framework, and:"	
Point 1 of Policy CS29 should be re-worded as follows: "No alternative,	Accepted but it is recommended that Point 2 of Policy CS29 is amended
suitable sites are available, first within, and then appropriate edge-of-	with simpler wording to read: "the site is easily accessible by a choice of
centre sites which are well connected to a centre listed in Policy CS25. If	means of transport and preference has been given to sites which are, or
no suitable, available in or edge-of-centre sites are available then	will be, well-connected to a town, district or local centre;"
accessible out-of-centre sites will be considered." to better reflect the	
National Planning Policy Framework.	
The addition of further retail 'tests', above and beyond national policy, is	Accepted. It is recommended that Point 2 of Policy CS29 is amended to
excessively onerous and unnecessary. Paragraph 24 of the National	read: "the site is easily accessible by a choice of means of transport and
Planning Policy Framework states that "When considering edge-of-centre	preference has been given to sites which are, or will be, well-connected to
and out-of-centre proposals, preference should be given to accessible	a town, district or local centre; and". It is also recommended that Point 5
sites that are well connected to the town centre." The wording of Point 2	of Policy CS29 is deleted, to allow the promotion of jobs and training for
of Policy CS29 should therefore read: "The site is easily accessible by a	local residents to be dealt with by negotiation under Policy CS45 –
choice of means of transport and <u>preference is given to sites that are</u> well- connected to a town, district or local centre" to ensure that the sequential	Developer Contributions; and that the penultimate paragraph of Policy CS29 is simplified to read: "Edge-of-centre proposal <u>s m</u> ust demonstrate
test can be carried out properly.	how the proposal will be connected to the centre, encourage linked trips
test can be carried out property.	and enhance the vitality and viability of the centre."
Point 3 of Policy CS29 is unnecessary and excessively onerous. The	Accepted. It is recommended that Point 3 of Policy CS29 is deleted to
requirement to 'enhance and complement the range and quality of facilities	avoid a potential conflict with national policy.
provided in existing centres' does not reflect national policy and should be	avoid a potential connict with hational policy.
removed.	
Point 3 of Policy CS29 should be deleted on the basis that there is no	Accepted. It is recommended that Point 3 of Policy CS29 is deleted to
requirement in national policy to undertake a qualitative based	avoid a potential conflict with national policy.
assessment; the requirement is subjective, with no fixed parameters for	
assessment; and the requirement is anti-competitive, if this would prevent	
a new store of a comparable scale and quality from being introduced,	
given the need to enhance competition and choice.	
Welcome the requirement that edge-of-centre and out-of-centre facilities	No change is required, as the wording remains unchanged.
should have no significant adverse effect on the vitality and viability of a	
centre within an adjacent authority.	

Policy CS29 – Criteria for Edge of Centre and Out of Centre Facilities

Summary of Comments Received	Recommended Response
Point 6 of Policy CS29 which simply cross refers to Policy CS42 should be deleted to remove unnecessary repetition from the Core Strategy.	No change is recommended. Policy CS42 – Development Management has been included to ensure that relevant Core Strategy policies are applied to every new development that requires planning permission, including edge-of-centre and out-of-centre retail proposals, and to prevent the proliferation of additional criteria throughout the other policies of the Core Strategy. The absence of a requirement to meet Policy CS42 would also significantly affect the sustainability appraisal of Policy CS29.
The requirement to meet Policy CS42 – Development Management should be deleted as it replicates other Core Strategy policies. Tying Policy CS29 to Policy CS42 also reduces the flexibility to meet potential future change, given the limitations of Policy CS2 – Broad Spatial Strategy and Policy CS8 – Priorities for Leasowe, Moreton, Upton, Greasby and Woodchurch, on the reuse of employment sites in Moreton.	No change is recommended. Policy CS42 – Development Management has been included to ensure that relevant Core Strategy policies are applied to every new development that requires planning permission, including edge-of-centre and out-of-centre retail proposals, and to prevent the proliferation of additional criteria throughout the other policies of the Core Strategy. The absence of a requirement to meet Policy CS42 would also significantly affect the sustainability appraisal of Policy CS29.
The last paragraph of Policy CS29 should be reworded to ensure that the restrictive conditions referred to are not added as standard practice to all permissions for retail developments. Circular 11/95 makes it clear that conditions should only be applied to permissions where they are justified and necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms. Paragraph 21.30 is unacceptable, as it implies that Wirral Waters is a suitable location for retail. The Core Strategy must clearly state that retailing outside allocated centres, across the City Region, is not acceptable.	Accepted. It is recommended that the final paragraph of Policy CS29 is amended to read: " <u>Where appropriate, p</u> lanning conditions will be used to control the type, mix and quantum of gross and net retail floorspace; the range of goods sold; size of units; and number of operators per <u>building</u> ; to ensure that the impact on existing centres is minimised." Accepted. It is recommended that paragraph 21.30 is amended to delete the reference to Wirral Waters to read: "The Council's preference is for new floorspace to first be directed to existing centres in line with the hierarchy <u>of retail centres</u> identified under Policy CS25 and <u>only then to edge-of-centre or out-of-centre locations</u> , subject to proposals satisfying <u>the sequential test and not having a significant adverse impact on existing centres.</u> "